

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,854	12/27/2005	Claudia Cerruti	2723-0147PUS1	6428
	590 03/14/2007 RT KOLASCH & BIRC	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		MENEZES, MARCUS		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	03/14/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/14/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/562,854	CERRUTI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marcus Menezes	3677			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2007.					
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	·				
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-12</u> is/are rejected.	•	·			
,	Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.		·			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 <i>December</i> 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		,				
Attachmen	nt(s)	•				
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103


- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyanagi et al. (US-5,584,107, hereinafter "Koyanagi") in view of Nishino et al. (US 4,757,579).

Koyanagi discloses a coupling device for restraining belts, comprising a body (10) adapted to be connected to at least one belt branch (2), and a pair of tongue elements (3) each adapted to be connected to a respective belt branch, wherein each tone element includes an attachment point (33) for connection with the respective belt branch and a stem portion (31) arranged to be received and locked in the body, said stem portion defining a catch tooth represented by the area surrounding and including the hole (37), wherein said catch tooth is for locking the tongue element in the body. (See Fig. 4 and 6a) Further, each tongue element comprises of a metal insert that is partially covered by a coating, but said tongue element, including the metal insert, is not wholly covered or coated by a plastic or rubber housing or coating. (See col. 5, lines 9-17).

Art Unit: 3677

Nishino et al. teaches of tongue elements on a similar device wherein said tongue elements are "covered with a protective resinous material." (See col. 4, lines 15-25). Note resinous materials include thermoplastic and rubber resins.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the teaching of the wholly covered tongue element in Koyanagi in view of Nishino in order to protect the tongue elements from scratching or rust.



Koyanagi further discloses that the metal insert of each tongue element comprises a substantially flat portion having a first part extending into the attachment portion and a second part extending into the stem portion of the tongue element. As for the limb, such a modification to the metal insert would have involved a mere change of shape of an element. A change in shape or form is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Further, nothing essential or unexpected is offered from this shape.

Art Unit: 3677


Koyanagi also discloses that the body comprises of a latching mechanism that includes a locking means (20,22) arranged to be moved in a perpendicular direction to the direction of insertion of the stem portions of the tone elements into and out of the body from a coupled position, in which the locking means engages the catch teeth of the tongue elements to prevent the latter from being ejected from the body and a released position, in which said locking means disengages from the catch tooth, thus allowing the ejection of the elements from the body. (See Fig. 6a)

Koyanagi further discloses that the latching mechanism further includes a pair of slider elements (40), each associated to a respective tongue element, wherein the said slider elements being adapted to slide parallel to the direction of insertion and ejection of the stem portions of the tongue elements into and out of the body and are biased by a spring (45) so as to react to the insertion and facilitate the ejection of the tongue elements. (See Figs. 6 and 7)

Further disclosed is that said locking means (20) comprises of a locking rod (22) and in that the said slider elements are arranged to prevent the locking rod from moving to the coupled position when both the tongue elements are not inserted into the body. (See Fig. 6(a)).

Also, identification means associated with the push-bottom for indicating to the user whether the device is in the coupled position or released position can be coloring placed on the inner side wall next to the push bottom of Koyanagi. This is a matter of design choice wherein the apparatus would perform equally as well without said identification means. (See below)

Art Unit: 3677



Also disclosed by Koyanagi about said tongue elements are connecting members (35A,35B) for ensuring the alignment of the tongue elements. (See Fig. 4 and col. 5, lines 20-32). Further, said connecting members comprise at least a projection (35B) formed by the one tongue element and a cavity (35A) provided in the other tongue element for receiving the respective projection.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3677

Applicant should note that it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Menezes whose telephone number is 571-272-6284. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 5:30pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcus Menezes Examiner Art Unit 3677

MM

ROBERT J. SANDY PRIMARY EXAMINER